























The Herschel Compressed Sensing Story



This space telescope has been designed to observe in the far-infrared and sub-millimeter wavelength range:

Goals :

- Understand the beginning of stars formation (molecular clouds).

- Observe galaxies at their formation epoch.

On bord: three instruments: HIFI : spectromètre hétérodyne (SRON-NL) PACS : Spectromètre et Photomètre 57-205 μ m (MPE-D) SPIRE : Spectromètre et Photomètre - 200-607 μ m

The shortest wavelength band, 57-210 microns, is covered by PACS (Photodetector Array Camera and Spectrometer).

Launch: May 14, 2009.

PACS: 8 matrices of 16x16 pixels, cooled down to 300 mK.







HERSCHEL DATA COMPRESSION PROBLEM



Herschel data transfer problem:

-no time to do sophisticated data compression on board.-a compression ratio of 8 must be achieved.

==> solution: averaging of height successive images on board

CS may offer another alternative.

Bobin, J.-L. Starck, and R. Ottensamer, "Compressed Sensing in Astronomy", IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing, Vol 2, no 5, pp 718--726, 2008.

http://fr.arxiv.org/abs/0802.0131



Compressed Sensing For Data Compression

Compressed Sensing presents several interesting properties for data compress:

- •Compression is **very fast** ==> good for on-board applications.
- •Very robust to bit loss during the transfer.
- •Decoupling between compression/decompression.
- •Data protection.
- •Linear Compression.



But clearly not as competitive to JPEG or JPEG2000 to compress an image.













Herschel image packets decompression

$$y \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \min_{\alpha} \|\alpha\|_{\ell_1} \text{ s.t. } \|y - \Theta_{\Lambda} \Phi \alpha\|_{\ell_2} \le \epsilon \\ x = \Phi \alpha \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$$

Physical priors

Height consecutive observations of the same field can be decompressed together (forward-backward splitting algorithm)

$$\alpha^{(t+1)} = \text{SoftThresh}_{\mu_t \lambda^{(t)}} \left(\alpha^{(t)} + \mu_t \frac{1}{P} \sum_{i=1}^{P} \Phi^* \mathcal{S}_{-d_i} \left(\Theta^*_{\Lambda_i} \left(y_i - \Theta_{\Lambda_i} \mathcal{S}_{d_i} \left(\Phi \alpha^{(t)} \right) \right) \right) \right)$$

where $\mu_t \in (0, 2P / \sum_i \Theta_{\Lambda_i}^2 \Phi^2)$. At each iteration, the sought after image is reconstructed from the coefficients $\alpha^{(t)}$ as $x^{(t)} = \Phi \alpha^{(t)}$.



Resolution: CS versus Mean



The $\mbox{CS}\xspace$ -based compression entails a resolution gain equal to a 30% of the spatial

RESOLUTION PROVIDED BY MO6.









ESA wants to test CS

- CS compression **is** implemented in the Herschel on-board software (as an option).
- Astronet Grant: 1 postdoc for 3 years (Nicolas Barbay), from 2009 to 2011.
- CS Tests in flight **started** in November.
- The CS decompression is fully integrated in the data processing pipeline.
- Software developments required for an efficient decompression (taking into account dark, flat-field, PSF, etc) not yet finished.
 Main problem: the background drift:

$$Y(i, j, t) = s(i, j) + B(i, j, t) + N(i, j)$$

We need to recover s and B



31

Observed Data During the Calibration Phase, November 2010, without any compression.

Two scans (16 x 16 pixels at 40 Hz during 25 min each, we obtained 60000 images for each scan, at $85-130 \,\mu\text{m}$). The two scanning are at 90 degrees.





Reconstruction of one sky map from 60000 frames: redundancy of around 200, at each position of the sky image x[i,j].















Averaging + Deblurring

$$\min_{\alpha} \qquad \left\| y - A \Phi \alpha \right\|^{2} + \lambda \left\| \alpha \right\|_{1} ,$$

 $A = \Theta P$, where P is the projection to the camera pixels, and Θ is the CS random linear operator.

41







Conclusions on CS for Herschel

- CS works.

- CS is clearly better than Averaging, as predicted from the toy model simulations.

- But only slightly better than Averaging + Deblurring

==> at this point, the improvement does not justify to use the CS mode as the standard mode.

- The Averaging-Deblurring solution has been developed thanks to the CS spirit.

- It can however be very useful for some scientific programs, where the resolution is the key of the success.

Maybe, it is not the end of the story. Possible improvement:

- Better drift removal.

- Matrix choice (Hadamard, noiselet, etc)

- Dictionary choice.

- Deconvolution would be possible with CS, and further improve the resolution. 45



- Thanks to CS, we clearly can increase the acquisition rate. This is important for instance for the drift background removal.









Non Convex Penalties: Iterative Hard Thresholding

$$\min_{\alpha} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|y - A\Phi\alpha\|^2 + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \|\alpha\|_0 ,$$

Iterative hard thresholding (Starck et al, 2004; Elad et al 2005; Topp, 2006; Blumensath, 2008; Ramlau, 2008; Maleki and Donoho, 2009) consists of replacing soft thresholding by hard thresholding:

$$\alpha^{(n+1)} = \mathrm{HT}_{\lambda} \left(\alpha^{(n)} + \mu \Phi^T A^T \left(Y - A \Phi \alpha^{(n)} \right) \right)$$

Converges to a stationary point which is a local minimizer (Blumensath, 2008).

In compressed sensing recovery, IHT was reported to perform better than IST (Maleki and Donoho, 2009). This is not necessarily true for other inverse problems.

Varying the Regularization Parameter in Iterative Thresholding

Iterative thresholding with a varying threshold was proposed in (Starck et al, 2004; Elad et al, 2005) for sparse signal decomposition in order to accelerate the convergence. The idea consists in using a different threshold $\lambda^{(n)}$ at each iteration.

For IST:
$$\alpha^{(n+1)} = \operatorname{ST}_{\lambda^{(n)}} \left(\alpha^{(n)} + \Phi^T A^T \left(Y - A \Phi \alpha^{(n)} \right) \right)$$

For IHT: $\alpha^{(n+1)} = \operatorname{HT}_{\lambda^{(n)}} \left(\alpha^{(n)} + \Phi^T A^T \left(Y - A \Phi \alpha^{(n)} \right) \right)$

- The idea underlying this threshold update recipe has a flavor of homotopy continuation (Osborne, 2000). Continuation has been shown to speed up convergence, to confer robustness to initialization and better recovery of signals with high dynamic range.

- As the sparsity penalties are not convex anymore, continuation and start by a decreasing threshold is even more crucial in IHT than in IST to ensure robustness to initialization and local minima.

- When A=Id and exactly sparse solutions, a sufficient condition based on the incoherence of Φ was given (Maleki et Donoho, 2009) to ensure convergence and correct sparsity recovery by IST with decreasing threshold.

Iterative Soft Thresholding: Simplicity and Robustess

$$\min_{lpha} \quad \left\|y - A \Phi lpha \right\|^2 + \lambda \left\|lpha \right\|_1 \; ,$$

The IST scheme is very easy to implement (Nowak et al, 2003; Daubechies et al 2004; Combettes et al, 2007):

$$\alpha^{(n+1)} = \text{SoftThresh}_{\mu\lambda} \left(\alpha^{(n)} + \mu \Phi^T A^T \left(Y - A \Phi \alpha^{(n)} \right) \right)$$

The algorithm is therefore not only simple, but also relatively fast. Furthermore, IST is robust with regard to numerical errors.

However, its convergence speed strongly depends on the operator A, and slow convergence may be observed. Accelerated IST variants have been proposed in the literature (Vonesch et al, 2007; Elad et al 2008; Wright et al., 2008; Nesterov, 2008 and Beck-Teboulle, 2009; etc).