

Reproducible Research

- A publication =
Article
+
Source code
+
Data
+
Script that reproduce the paper figures

Life as an author:

Referee comments:

- Please compare your method to (XX et al, ApJ, xxx).
 - * But the code is not available and seems quite difficult to implement.
 - * Furthermore, even if you re-code, the authors could argue that you did not do it correctly.
- The method (XX et al, ApJ, xx) is optimal, so you cannot do better.

Referee comments:

- revised version: easy to redo the experiment and change the figures.

New Research:

- you may want to go back to older work, and redo some experiments.

Life as a Referee:

- If the code related is available, you can easily check and trust the result of the papers.
- If other codes are available, it is obvious that authors should compare their method to the existing methods with available codes.

Life as a Scientist:

- You will easily trust a scientific result from a colleague if everything is on the table.
- In the same way, other scientists will trust your results more easily ... *except if they are Bayesian and you present a sparse inpainting method ...*
- If you develop a new idea, you want them to be used. Making the code available obviously helps.
- If your code is used, you may get a lot of citations.
SExtractor code from E. Bertin is one of the most cited papers in France.
- You may have some idea to improve a method published by somebody else. If the code is available, it is easy to implement it.

Life as a Supervisor:

- When you PhD student or postdoc leaves your lab, the code remains available.

Life as a Project Manager:

- You want to test each step of the pipeline, and compare all possible solutions to optimize the scientific products.
- You want to test the code with different parameters and check how stable is the solution.
- You want the best pipeline, including the best algorithms which are not necessary developed by the consortium members.
- If many people are using a code, it may be bug-free.

Life as a Tax Payer:

- Salaries are paid by tax payers, to any research product belong the community, and not to the researcher.
- Data collected thanks to tax payers are available, why should it be different for software ?